ing will affect the observed rate adversity.

The dehydration of the carbinolamine derived from the reaction of phenylhydrazine and o-hydroxybenzaldehyde, observed from pH 3.5 to 6, occurs with specific-acid catalysis but without general-acid catalysis by carboxylic acids, while general-acid catalysis by carboxylic acids has been observed in the dehydration of other carbinolamines.⁸ It is possible to explain the absence of general-acid catalysis of the dehydration by an intramolecular acid catalysis by hydrogen bond.



Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to Dr. Eugene H. Cordes for helpful comments concerning this work.

Registry No. o-Hydroxybenzaldehyde, 90-02-8; phenylhydrazine, 100-63-0; o-hydroxybenzaldehyde phenylhydrazone, 614-65-3.

Supplementary Material Available: Table I, determination of values of pK_a for o-hydroxybenzaldehyde at 25.0 °C in 20% aqueous ethanol and an ionic strength of 0.50 (1 page). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(8) P. Sojo, F. Viloria, L. Malave, R. Possomani, M. Calzadilla, J. Baumrucker, A. Malpica, R. Moscovici, and L. do Amaral, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 4519 (1976).

(±)-[methyl-³H and -²H]Mianserin. Participants in a Dramatic Instance of HPLC Isotopic **Fractionation**¹

Crist N. Filer,* Robert Fazio, and David G. Ahern

Ligands Group. New England Nuclear, Boston. Massachusetts 02118

Received February 20, 1981

One functionality common to a number of valuable central nervous system (CNS) drugs both naturally occurring and synthetic is the N-methyl group. The availability of C³H₃I at high specific activity (40-90 Ci/mmol) has allowed the preparation of N-[³H]methyl CNS drugs at correspondingly high specific activity from accessible nor precursors for receptor binding studies.² In this way, we have prepared $[methyl^{-3}H]$ oxymorphone (1), [methyl-³H]morphine (2), [methyl-³H]dihydromorphine (3), and [methyl-³H]LSD (4) at high specific activity.³ Although the identity of these substances has been conclusively demonstrated by spectroscopic (UV, ³H NMR) evidence and by in vitro receptor binding assay, we have occasionally noted differences in their HPLC behavior as compared to cold standard. In particular, 2-4 have been observed to elute later than their respective cold standards on microporasil HPLC and we have attributed this behavior to isotopic fractionation.⁴ To document the often intriguing HPLC behavior of these N-[3H]methyl CNS drugs and







Figure 2. HPLC trace of compounds 5a, 5d, and 5c coinjected on microporasil eluted at 1 mL/min with CH₂Cl₂-CH₃OH (98:2) and monitored by simultaneous UV (254 nm) and ³H detection.

Table I. Field-Desorption Mass Spectral Data for 5c

m/e	% of base peak	species	-
264	8.8	cold mianserin	
266	1.5	[N-C ¹ H ₂ ³ H ₁]mianserin	
268	10.3	[N-C ¹ H ₁ ³ H ₂]mianserin	
270	79.4	[N-C ³ H ₃]mianserin	

thereby facilitate their identification, we now report the most dramatic instance of this phenomenon that we have yet observed.

 (\pm) -Mianserin (5a) is an N-methyl tetracyclic antide-



pressant with affinity for both the serotonin and histamine receptors.⁵ By use of either CNBr or vinyl chloroformate,⁶

⁽¹⁾ Presented in part at the 11th Northeast Regional Meeting of the

<sup>American Chemical Society, Rochester, NY, October 1981.
(2) Yamamura, H. I.; Enna, S. J.; Kuhar, M. J., Eds.
"Neurotransmitter Receptor Binding"; Raven Press: New York, 1978.
(3) Filer, C. N.; Fazio, R.; Ahern, D. G., unpublished results.</sup>

⁽⁴⁾ Klein, P. D. In "Advances In Chromatography"; Giddings, J. D., Keller, R. A., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1966; Vol. 3, p 3.

Notes

 (\pm) -normianserin (5b) was easily prepared in 30% yield from 5a. Samples of 5b obtained by either N-demethylation procedure were identical with one another (TLC, HPLC, ¹H NMR (CDCl₂) and, by treatment with CH₃I, could be reconverted back to 5a whose cochromatography (TLC, HPLC) and ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) were identical with those of authentic 5a. Treatment of 5b with $C^{3}H_{3}I$ afforded radiochemically pure (\pm) -[methyl-³H]mianserin (5c) in 36% radiochemical yield, exhibiting cochromatography (TLC) with 5a and a UV (EtOH) spectrum that was superimposable on that of 5a. Also, the ³H NMR $(CDCl_3)$ (Figure 1) and field desorption mass spectra⁷ (Table I) of the free base of 5c and the in vitro receptor binding assay of 5c at the serotonin and histamine receptors⁸ were consonant with its proposed structure. Remarkably, however, 5c did not coelute with 5a when coinjected on microporasil HPLC (Figure 2) but had a significantly longer retention time.

To prove that the anomalous HPLC retention time of 5c with respect to that of 5a was due to isotopic fractionation, the preparation of (\pm) -[methyl-²H]mianserin (5d) and a study of its HPLC behavior were undertaken. Therefore, compound 5b was treated with C²H₃I to afford pure 5d in 31% yield. Compound 5d cochromatographed with 5a on TLC and gave a UV (EtOH) spectrum superimposable on that of 5a. It also displayed ¹H and ²H NMR $(CDCl_3)$ spectra and a high-resolution mass spectrum in agreement with its proposed structure. However, in harmony with what would be expected from the standpoint of isotopic fractionation, when coinjected on microporasil HPLC (Figure 2), 5d eluted after 5a and before 5c.⁹ We believe that 5c and 5d do indeed possess their proposed structures and that their anomalous HPLC behavior is a dramatic instance of isotopic fractionation. These observations indicate that by HPLC 5c behaves as if it were more polar (on microporasil, later eluting) than its cold counterpart 5a. Apparently 5d, eluting between 5a and 5c on microporasil HPLC, is of intermediate polarity.

HPLC cochromatography is increasingly relied on to establish the identity and purity of radiochemicals. Our observations on the relative HPLC retention times of compounds 5a, 5c, and 5d will serve to clarify the interpretation of the HPLC behavior of other high specific activity N-[³H]methyl CNS drugs.

Experimental Section

General Methods. Evaporations were carried out on a Büchi rotary evaporator in vacuo at bath temperatures below 40 °C. TLC was performed on Analtech 5×15 cm, $250 \ \mu m$ (analytical), and 20×20 cm, $1000 \ \mu m$ (preparative), silica gel GF coated glass plates. Common solvent combinations were S₁ (CH₃OH-acetone, 1:1), S₂ (CH₃OH-EtOAc-cyclohexane-NH₄OH, 3:14:3:1), S₃ (CH₃OH-2 N NH₄OH, 9:1), and S₄ (CH₃OH-PhH-H₂O, 7:1:1). Autoradiography was performed at 0 °C after TLC plates were sprayed with PPO (New England Nuclear) and exposed to Eastman Kodak SB-5 film. TLC plates were also scanned for activity by using a Packard 7201 scanner. Analytical HPLC was run on a Waters instrument, using a microporasil column eluted with either S₅ (CH₂Cl₂-CH₃OH, 92:8) or S₆ (CH₂Cl₂-CH₃OH, 98:2). Peak detection was performed simultaneously by a Waters 440 UV detector at 254 nm and a liquid scintillation flow monitor. UV spectra were measured on a Beckman Model 25 spectrophotometer. The ¹H, ²H, and ³H NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker WP 200-MHz instrument. Chemical shift values are expressed in parts per million downfield from internal (CH₃)₄Si. The high-resolution mass spectra were performed by Shrader Analytical Laboratories, Detroit, MI.

 (\pm) -Normianserin (5b). To a stirred solution of 5a free base (Organon, 88 mg, 0.33 mmol) in 4 mL of CHCl₃ was added 64 mg (0.60 mmol) of CNBr in 2 mL of CHCl₃. The reaction was heated under nitrogen for 2 h at 60 °C. After this time, it was cooled and concentrated to a volume of 0.3 mL and purified on two 1000- μ m silica gel TLC plates eluted with S₁. The main band $(R_f 0.9)$ was visualized by UV, scraped off, and eluted with EtOH. Solvent evaporation yielded 113 mg (92%) of N-cyanomianserin. To a solution of this intermediate in 3 mL of H_2O -HOAc (1:2) was added 700 mg of zinc dust and the mixture was heated under nitrogen at 60 °C for 3 h. After this time, the reaction was cooled, filtered, and diluted with 10 mL of 1% aqueous tartaric acid. It was then extracted with two 10-mL portions of CHCl₃. The CHCl₃ solution was concentrated to 0.3 mL and purified on two 1000-µm silica gel plates eluted with S_2 . The main band $(R_f 0.5)$ was visualized by UV, scraped off, and eluted with CHCl₃. Solvent evaporation yielded 25 mg (30% yield) of 5b as an oil that was homogeneous on TLC (silica gel, S_2) and HPLC (microporasil, S₅); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.23–6.80 (m, 8), 4.85 (d, 1, J = 12.94 Hz), 3.95 (dd, 1, J = 3.91, 9.03 Hz), 3.30-3.00 (m, 7). The NCH₃ resonance at δ 2.29 was conspicuously absent; exact mass calcd for C17H18N2 (M⁺) 250.1468, found 250.1488.

Compound **5b** prepared by the vinyl chloroformate method⁶ cochromatographed with (silica gel TLC, S_2 ; microporasil HPLC, S_5) and displayed a ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum identical with **5b** prepared by CNBr treatment of **5a**.

(±)-[methyl-³H]Mianserin (5c). A solution of 7 mg (0.024 mmol) of 5b, 5 mg of NaHCO₃ in 2 mL of CH₃OH, and 4.56 Ci (0.08 mmol at 57 Ci/mmol) of C³H₃I (New England Nuclear) was heated at 60 °C in a sealed vessel for 1.5 h. After this time, excess solvent was evaporated and the crude concentrated reaction was purified by preparative TLC on two 500-µm silica gel plates eluted with S_3 . Authentic 5a was allowed to elute side by side with 5c to facilitate the location of 5c on the TLC plates. The main radioactive band corresponding to 5c (R_f 0.5) was visualized by UV, scraped off, and eluted with EtOH. The total activity contained in the EtOH eluent was 503 mCi (a 36% radiochemical yield based on **5b**). TLC (silica gel, S_2 , S_3 , S_4) of **5c** underspotted with 5a indicated that its radiochemical purity was 99%, and in these TLC systems, 5c cochromatographed with 5a. Compound 5c was also found to be 99% radiochemically pure by HPLC (microporasil, S_{s}). The UV (EtOH) spectrum of 5c was completely superimposable on that of 5a, and the specific activity of 5c was determined to be 57.7 Ci/mmol by UV spectroscopy (282 nm (ϵ 1930) for 5a). For the ³H NMR of 5c see Figure 1 and for the field-desorption mass spectral data for 5c see Table I.

(±)-[methyl-²H]Mianserin (5d). A solution of 15 mg (0.052 mmol) of 5b and 5 mg of NaHCO₃ in 2 mL of CH₃OH with 6.5 μ L (0.105 mmol) of C²H₃I (Aldrich 99+% D, 17, 603-6) was allowed to stir at 30 °C for 2 h. After this time, another 5 μ L of C²H₃I was added and the reaction was allowed to stir at 30 °C for another 1 h. The reaction was then concentrated to 0.3 mL and purified by preparative TLC on one 1000- μ m silica gel plate eluted with S₃. The main band was visualized by UV (R_f 0.5), scraped off, and eluted with EtOH. Solvent evaporation yielded 5 mg (31% yield) of 5d as an oil that was chromatographically homogeneous on TLC (silica gel, S₃, S₄) and HPLC (microporasil, S₅). On TLC, 5d cochromatographed with 5a and the UV (EtOH) of 5d was superimposable on that of 5a. Other spectral data for 5d now follow: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃)¹⁰ δ 7.25–6.80 (m, 8) 4.85 (d, 1, J = 12.70)

⁽⁵⁾ Vargaftig, B. B.; Coignet, J. L.; de Vos, C. J.; Grijsen, H.; Bonta, I. L. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1971, 16, 336.

⁽⁶⁾ Olofson, R. A.; Schnur, R. C.; Bunes, L. A. U.S. Patent 3905981, 1975.

⁽⁷⁾ Beckey, H. D.; Schulten, H.-R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 403.

⁽⁸⁾ Personal communication with Professor S. H. Snyder (Johns Hopkins).

⁽⁹⁾ Figure 2 is an accurate representation of an actual HPLC trace for 5a, 5d, and 5c. It should be pointed out that, due to recorder pen (UV, ³H) offset and the fact that the UV detector is in tandem with but upstream from the radioactivity detector, the actual peak separation of 5d and 5c is somewhat smaller than that displayed in Figure 2. However, on occasion we have injected on HPLC essentially equivalent mass amounts of 5a, 5d, and 5c and have observed by UV detection clear (although not baseline) separation of 5c eluting after 5d.

⁽¹⁰⁾ The ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of 5d is essentially identical (except for the missing *N*-methyl group) with that of 5a. For a discussion of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 5a see: Ramon, C. Thèse d'Université, Paris, 1969.

Hz), 4.05 (dd, 1, J = 2.69, 10.01 Hz), 3.45–3.20 (m, 3), 3.00–2.80 (m, 2), 2.50-2.30 (m, 2); ²H NMR (CHCl₃) δ 2.33 (s, NC²H₃); exact mass calcd for $C_{18}^{2}H_{3}^{1}H_{17}N_{2}$ (M⁺) 267.1813, found 267.1806.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. R. M. Koenig (Organon) for a generous gift of 5a. We gratefully acknowl-edge the technical assistance of L. Todisco (NEN) and R. Nugent (NEN) in the conversion of **5b** to **5c** and the help of Dr. P. Srinivasan (NEN) and L. Thomas (NEN) in obtaining the ³H NMR for 5c and the ²H NMR spectrum for 5d. We also thank Professor S. H. Snyder (Johns Hopkins) for performing receptor binding experiments on 5c and Professor H.-R. Schulten (University of Bonn) for obtaining the field-desorption mass spectrum of 5c. Finally we also gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance of M. Tutunjian (NEN) and R. Wellman (NEN) in performing the HPLC experiments on 5a, 5c, and 5d.

Registry No. (±)-5a, 76612-54-9; (±)-5b, 77862-25-0; (±)-5c, 77862-26-1; (±)-5d, 77862-27-2.

1,4-Dihydroxy-2,5-dioxopiperazines from Activated N-Hydroxy Amino Acids

Jacobus D. M. Herscheid, J. Hans Colstee, and Harry C. J. Ottenheijm*

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Received April 9, 1981

With the characterization¹ of the mold metabolite aspergillic acid as a cyclic hydroxamic acid and the demonstration² of the in vivo N-hydroxylation of amides, interest in oxidized peptide bonds [C(O)N(OH)] continues to grow. It is now recognized that such hydroxamic acids occur frequently in nature, serving as growth factors, antibiotics, and microbial pigments.³ In addition, they may play a role in the biosynthesis of microbial metabolites.⁴ Such considerations led us to undertake the synthesis of 1,4dihydroxy-2,5-dioxopiperazines (9) in our study of the biosynthesis of gliotoxin.⁵

Since the only synthesis of N,N'-dihydroxydioxopiperazines (9) reported to date⁶ is rather inefficient, we first set out to devise an efficient, generally applicable scheme for these compounds. Conventionally, dioxopiperazines are prepared by ring closure of the corresponding dipeptide alkyl esters. This approach fails, however, in the synthesis of the title compounds as the ethyl esters of N,N'-bis(benzyloxy)dipeptides 7 ($R_3 =$ OC_2H_5) resisted all attempts to produce 8 by ring closure. This failure can be rationalized by assuming that the N(H)benzyloxy group in 7 ($R_3 = OC_2H_5$) causes increased steric hindrance and decreased nucleophilicity compared to the corresponding amine. The conversion $7 \rightarrow 8$ thus seemed

Table I. N, N'-Dibenzyloxydioxopiperazines 8^a

8	% yield from 4	$mp, ^{\circ}C$ ($CH_2Cl_2/$ hexane)	formula
a , $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = H$	61	217-218	C ₁₈ H ₁₈ N,O ₄
b, $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = CH_3$ c, $R_1 = CH_3$, $R_2 = H$	$\begin{array}{c} 60\\ 35\end{array}$	137-138	$C_{19}H_{20}N_2O_4$
$\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{CH}_3, \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{CH}_3$	44	170-171	$C_{20}H_{22}N_{2}O_{4}$
e , $R_1 = i \cdot C_3 H_7$, $R_2 = H$ f , $R_1 = i \cdot C_3 H_7$, $R_2 = CH_3$	21 29	114-115 141-142	$\begin{array}{c} C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}O_{4}\\ C_{22}H_{26}N_{2}O_{4}\end{array}$

^a Satisfactory analyses (± 0.3 for C, H, N) were reported for all compounds in the table.



^a i, C₆H₅CH₂ONH₂·HCl; ii, p-NO₂C₆H₄OH, DCC, AcOEt; iii, $(CH_3)_3N$ ·BH₃, HCl, Et₂O; iv, SOCl₂, toluene; v, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂; vi, $(CH_3)_3N$ ·BH₃, HCl, dioxane; vii, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂; viii, Pd/C, H₂, dioxane.

to require an activated carboxylic acid derivative of 7. Indeed, we found that ring closure could be achieved by neutralizing a solution of the *p*-nitrophenyl ester 7 with pyridine, giving 8 in fair to good yields (see Table I).

The precursor, active ester 7, could easily be prepared since we found that our conditions⁷ for the reduction of the α -oximino carboxylic acid derivatives 3 and 6 are, fortunately, compatible with the presence of a p-nitrophenyl ester. Thus, on reduction of 3 with (CH₃)₃N·BH₃ in anhydrous ether, saturated with HCl, compound 4 precipitated from the reaction mixture as a crystalline solid

⁽¹⁾ Dutcher, J. D.; Wintersteiner, O. J. Biol. Chem. 1944, 155, 359. (2) Cramer, J. W.; Miller, J. A.; Miller, E. C. J. Biol. Chem. 1960, 235, 885.

⁽³⁾ For recent reviews see: (a) Sammes, P. G. Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1975, 32, 51; (b) Weisburger, J. H.; Weisburger, E. K. Pharmacol. Rev. 1973, 25, 1; (c) Maehr, H. Pure Appl. Chem. 1971, 28, 603; (d) Bapat, J. B.; Black, D. St. C.; Brown, R. F. C. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1969, 10, 199; (e) Neilands, J. B. Science 1967, 156, 1443.
(4) Scott, A. I.; Yoo, S. E.; Chung, S. K.; Lacadie, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1137. See also: Schmidt, U.; Häusler, J.; Öhler, E.; Poisel, H. Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1979, 37, 251.
(5) For a postulated scheme on the biosynthesis of gliotoxin see:

⁽⁵⁾ For a postulated scheme on the biosynthesis of gliotoxin see: Herscheid, J. D. M.; Nivard, R. J. F.; Tijhuis, M. W.; Ottenheijm, H. C. J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1885.

⁽⁶⁾ Cook, A. H.; Slater, C. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 4130.

⁽⁷⁾ Tijhuis, M. W.; Herscheid, J. D. M.; Ottenheijm, H. C. J. Synthesis, 1980, 890.